## Boehner v. Pelosi: Votes to Increase Production of American Energy Energy Scorecard, 102nd -- 110th Congress

## 46 Boehner Pro-Energy Votes, Compared to 2 Cast by the Speaker

Date	Bill	Congress	Brief Overview	Boehner	Pelosi	Result
22-May-08	H.R. 5658	110th	<b>Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2009:</b> MTR included a provision	Yes	NV	Failed
			to increase the use of alternative fuels like clean coal-to-liquids and oil from oil shale and	le and		186-223
			sands, by repealing Sc. 526 of the Democrat energy bill H.R. 6. The pro-energy vote was			
			yes.			
23-Apr-08	H.R. 5819	110th	SBIR/STTR Reauthorization Act: MTR would promote alternative fuels and projects that	Yes	NV	Failed
			have the potential to lower gasoline and diesel prices. The pro-energy vote was yes.			195-215
27-Feb-08	H.R. 5351	110th	Energy Taxes and Renewable Tax Credits. Vote on final passage of H.R. 5351, the	No	Yes	Passed
			Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation Tax Act of 2008. Bill included renewal of energy			236-182
			efficiency and renewable energy tax credits slated to expire at the end of 2008, but also			
			included language that would have imposed billions of dollars of taxes on domestic oil and			
			gas industry, would lead to higher energy/gasoline costs. The pro-energy vote was no.			
4-Aug-07	H.R. 3221	110th	MTR H.R.3221: The Republican MTR would strike the entire text of HR 3221 and replace it	Yes	No	Failed
			with pro-American energy production provisions including more oil and gas from ANWR,			169-244
			OCS, and oil shale, more refineries, more clean coal, as well as promote more efficiencies			
			and energy technology R&D. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
4-Aug-07	H.R. 3221	110th	RPS (Udall Amendment): A one-size-fits-all federal Renewable Portfolio Standard mandate	No	Yes	Passed
J	H.Amdt.748		that ignores the available energy resources and economic needs of the individual states.			220-190
			There are significant regional differences in availability, amount and types of renewable			
			energy resources, resulting in different regions of the country relying on different fuel			
			mixes. The Udall RPS requirement could cost electricity consumers billions of dollars in			
			higher electricity prices, but with no guarantee that additional renewable generation will			
			actually be developed. The pro-energy vote was no.			
4-Aug-07	H.R. 2776	110th	Punitive Energy Taxes: The bill would raise taxes on American energy production to pay for	No	Yes	Passed
			"green pork" projects. The pro-energy vote was no.			221-189
27-Jun-07	HR 2643	110th	Oil Shale (Udall Amendment). Rep. Udall (CO) offered an amendment to prohibit	No	Yes	Passed
	H.Amdt. 448		production of the 1.5 Trillion barrels of oil in oil shale on federal lands. The pro-energy vote			219-215.
			was no.			
26-Jun-07	H.R. 2643	110th	<b>Expanding U.S. Energy Supplies.</b> Vote on a Peterson (R-PA) amendment that would have	Yes	NV	Failed
	H.Amdt 405		lifted the federal moratoria with respect to natural gas preleasing, leasing, and related			196-233
			activities beyond 25 miles from U.S. coastlines. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
20-Jun-07	H.R. 2641	110th	Transmission Corridors (Hinchey/E&W amendment): Hinchey amendment would prohibit	No	NV	Failed
	H.Amdt.350		the sitting of new transmission lines that could be used to bring clean electricity from			174-257
			renewable and alternative sources to American consumers. The pro-energy vote was no.			
24-Apr-07	H.R. 363	110th	Sowing the Seeds Through Science and Engineering Research Act: MTR would promote	Yes	NV	Passed
	1		expanded domestic energy production and use through coal-to-liquids technology and			264-154
			advanced nuclear reprocessing. The pro-energy vote was yes.			

8-Feb-07	H.R. 547	110th	Advanced Fuels Infrastructure Research and Development Act	Yes	NV	Failed
			MTR to increase supply of alternative clean coal-to-liquids fuels. The pro-energy vote was yes.			200-207
18-Jan-07	H.R. 6	110th	Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007: Summary of taxes and mandates. The	No	Yes	Passed
			Heritage Foundation said the bill was "loaded down with counterproductive measures that			264-163
			would have raised energy prices." The pro-energy vote was no.			
8-Dec-06	H.R. 6111	109th	Tax Relief and Health Care Act: Contained the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006	Yes	Yes	Passed
			language which required leasing for oil and gas in 8.3 million acres in the GOM, including 5.8			367-45
			million acres that were previously held under Congressional moratoria. The pro-energy			
			vote was yes.			
29-Jun-06	H.R. 4761	109th	Deep Ocean Energy Exploration: This measure would have provided incentives to coastal	Yes	No	Passed
			states to permit offshore oil and natural gas exploration under strict environmental			232-187
			protection. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
7-Jun-06	H.R. 5254	109th	Refinery Regulatory Reform: This measure sought to lower gas prices by expediting the	Yes	No	Passed
			permitting process and judicial review for expansion of refineries. No new refinery has been			238-179
			built in the U.S. since the 1970s, and even expansions of existing refineries have not kept			
			pace with growing demand for gasoline in recent years. Consequently, the price consumers			
			pay at the pump reflects not only high oil prices due to tight supplies, but also high refining			
			costs due to tight refining capacity. The pro-energy vote was ves.			
25-May-06	H.R. 5429	109th	ANWR: To direct the Secretary of the Interior to establish and implement a competitive oil	Yes	No	Passed
			and gas leasing program that will result in an environmentally sound program for the			225-201
			exploration, development, and production of the oil and gas resources of the Coastal Plain			
			of Alaska, and for other purposes. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
7-Oct-05	H.R. 3893	109th	Gasoline for America (GAS) Act: The bill was crafted to increase refinery capacity for	Yes	No	Passed
			gasoline, heating oil, diesel fuel, and jet fuel. To accomplish this goal, the bill sought to			212-210
			reduce red-tape that prevented refinery expansion. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
28-Jul-05	H.R. 6	109th	Energy Policy Act of 2005 Conference Report: Although conferees dropped the provision	Yes	No	Passed
			permitting ANWR exploration, the final conference report included numerous measures to			275-156
			increase domestic energy supplies. The pro-energy vote was yes.			
24-May-05	H.R. 2419	109th	Yucca Mountain (Markey/E&W Amendment): Amendment sought to reduce funding for	No	Yes	Failed
	H.Amdt.197		reprocessing and nuclear waste management. The intent of the amendment was to further			110-312
			hinder new nuclear power. The pro-energy vote was no.			
19-May-05	H.R. 2361	109th	Natural Gas Exploration: Natural gas exploration on much of the Outer Continental Shelf	Yes	No	Failed
	H.Amdt.172		has largely been prohibited under a federal moratorium since 1990. In an effort to locate			157-262
			additional domestic energy resources, Representative John Peterson (R-PA) offered an			
			amendment to the FY 2006 Interior Appropriations bill to exempt natural gas from the			
			moratorium. The pro energy vote was yes.			
21-Apr-05	H.R. 6	109th	Energy Policy Act of 2005: A comprehensive pro-American energy bill promoting increased	Yes	No	Passed
			oil and gas production, renewable and alternative energies, as well as conservation and			249-183
			efficiencies, and energy technologies. The pro-energy vote was yes.			

21-Apr-05	H.R.6 H.Amdt.99	109th	Siting of Liquefied Natural Gas Terminals. Vote on a Castle (R-DE) amendment to energy bill H.R. 6 that would have allowed states to block Federal Energy Regulatory Commission decisions for siting liquefied natural gas (LNG) facilities. To keep pace with growing demand, new gas supply options from multiple sources are needed, including the importation of LNG. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Failed 194- 237
20-Apr-05	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.83	109th	<b>Expansion of Refineries:</b> The Energy Policy Act included several provisions to boost domestic oil production and refinery capacity. This amendment offered by Rep. Solis (D-CA) would strip the provisions designed to give incentives to increase gasoline supplies through increased refinery capacity. The section included language streamlining the permitting rules and reviews. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Failed 182-248
20-Apr-05	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.72	109th	ANWR (Markey Amdt): The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Failed 200- 231
16-Jun-04	H.R. 4517	108th	Oil Refinery Expansion: This bill provided incentives to increase supplies of gasoline by expanding refinery capacity. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 239-192
16-Jun-04	H.R. 4545	108th	Gas Price Reduction Act: The objective of the bill is to reduce the number of so-called boutique fuel blends. The growth in such blends, caused by the necessity of meeting air quality standards in certain areas, results in tighter supplies and higher prices. The proenergy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Failed 194-236
15-Jun-04	H.R. 4503	108th	Energy Policy Act of 2004: To enhance energy conservation and research and development, to provide for security and diversity in the energy supply for the American people, and for other purposes. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 244-178
15-Jun-04	H.R. 4513	108th	Regulatory Relief for Alternative Energy: A bill to streamline licensing and sitting rules for alternative energy projects on federal lands. The pro-energy vote was yes	Yes	No	Passed 229-186
18-Nov-03	H.R. 6	108th	Energy Bill Conference Report: To enhance energy conservation and research and development, to provide for security and diversity in the energy supply for the American people, through increased and environmentally sound production of U.S. oil and gas resources, renewable and alternatives like hydropower and geothermal, clean coal, and authorizing the Alaska natural gas pipeline. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 246-180
15-Oct-03	H.R. 6	108th	Off-Shore Energy Study: A provision in the Energy Policy Act would have instructed the Interior Department to conduct an inventory of the entire OCS for potential oil and gas resources. Representative Lois Capps (D-CA) opposed this study and offered a motion to instruct conferees to exclude the inventory provision from the final conference report. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Passed 229-182

11-Apr-03	H.R. 6	108th	Energy Policy Act of 2003: A comprehensive bill to increase environmentally sound production of American energy, including from oil and gas in ANWR, alternative and renewable energies such as hydropower, as well as nuclear, efficiencies and new energy technologies. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 247-175
10-Apr-03	H.R. 6 H.Amdt.69	108th	ANWR (Markey Amdt.): The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Failed 197-228
17-Jul-02	H.R. 5093 H.Amdt.540	107th	Deep Ocean Drilling: During consideration of the FY 2003 Interior Appropriations bill, Representative Lois Capps (D-CA) offered an amendment to prohibit potential drilling in 36 California off-shore leases. There was no drilling ongoing when the amendment was offered, but some Members of the California delegation sought to preemptively foreclose any future exploration. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Passed 252-172
8-May-02	H.J. Res. 87	107th	Nuclear: Approving the site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for the development of a repository for the disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel, pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 306-117
2-Aug-01	H.R. 4	107th	Securing America's Future Energy Act: The omnibus bill included dozens of reforms designed to increase the security and diversity of the domestic energy supply. After the defeat of the Markey Amendment the final bill included language permitting exploration of ANWR, and represented a step toward energy independence and lower energy prices for the United States. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 240-189
1-Aug-01	H.R. 4 H.Amdt.298	107th	ANWR (Markey Amdt.): The Energy Policy Act contained a provision allowing environmentally sound production of oil and gas on the Arctic coastal plain. This amendment by Rep. Ed Markey (D-MA) would strike the ANWR language. The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Failed 206-223
28-Jun-01	H.R. 2311 H.Amdt.127	107th	<b>Great Lakes Energy:</b> Energy production has occurred under the Great Lakes since 1979, but a moratorium had been put in place against new drilling. Rep. Bonior's (D-MI) amendment would further prohibit production of energy in the Great Lakes. <b>The pro-energy vote was no.</b>	No	Yes	Passed 265-157
21-Jun-01	H.R. 2217 H.Amdt.107	107th	Gulf of Mexico Energy: An amendment by Rep. Davis (FL) that would prohibit the environmentally sound production of oil and gas in the deep ocean leases of Lease 181.  The pro-energy vote was no.	No	Yes	Passed 247-164
22-Mar-00	S.1287	106th	Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 2000: An original bill to provide for the storage of spent nuclear fuel pending completion of the nuclear waste repository, and for other purposes. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 253-167

30-Oct-97	H.R.1270	105th	To amend the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. Instruct the Secretary of Energy (the Secretary) to: (1) develop and operate a repository for the permanent geologic disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste; (2) accept spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste by no later than January 31, 2002; (3) provide for the transportation of such wastes; and (4) pursue expeditiously the development of each component of the integrated management system. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 307-120
21-May-96	H.R.3415	104th	Gas Tax Increase Repeal: To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the 4.3-cent increase in the transportation motor fuels excise tax rates enacted by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 and dedicated to the general fund of the Treasury. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 301-108
20-Nov-95	H.R. 2491	104th	ANWR (On Agreeing to the Senate Amendment of Balanced Budget Act of 1995): The bill contained provisions to open a small portion of the Arctic coastal plain to environmentally sound production of oil and gas. This provision survived both the House and Senate but was vetoed by President Clinton on December 12, 1995. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 235- 192 Clinton Vetoed 12/06/95
8-Nov-95	S.395	104th	OCS: This bill established a program to incentivize the environmentally sound production of oil and natural gas from the deep ocean resources. According to the U.S. Department of Interior, since this provision passed, it has contributed to a 550 percent increase in oil production in the deepwater through the year 2004. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 289-134
26-Oct-95	H.R. 2491	104th	Balanced Budget Act of 1995: ANWR: The bill contained provisions to open a small portion of the Arctic coastal plain to environmentally sound production of oil and gas. This provision survived both the House and Senate but was vetoed by President Clinton on December 12, 1995. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	No	Passed 227-203
10-Aug-93	H.R.2264	103rd	BTU Tax (Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993): The bill included a pay for in the form of a "Btu tax" that would have imposed a tax on coal, crude oil, natural gas, hydropower, and nuclear power. It would have affected imports as well as domestically produced energy. Estimates put the cost to every U.S. household at between \$400 and \$500 per year, and would result in the loss of between 400,000 and 600,000 U.S. jobs. The proenergy vote was no.	No	Yes	Passed 219-213
5-Oct-92	H.R. 776	102nd	Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Conference Report): The Bill created a framework for a competitive wholesale electricity generation market to increase competition, provided for R&D for fuels from oil shale and clean coal, and promoted the production natural gas through a coal bed methane recovery program. The pro-energy vote was yes.	Yes	Yes	Passed 363- 60